Direct Detection of Resonant Electron Pitch Angle Scattering by Whistler Waves in a Laboratory Plasma
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Resonant interactions between energetic electrons and whistler mode waves are an essential ingredient in the space environment, and in particular in controlling the dynamic variability of Earth’s natural radiation belts, which is a topic of extreme interest at the moment. Although the theory describing resonant wave-particle interaction has been present for several decades, it has not been hitherto tested in a controlled laboratory setting. In the present Letter we report on the first laboratory experiment to directly detect resonant pitch angle scattering of energetic (∼keV) electrons due to whistler mode waves. We show that the whistler mode wave deflects energetic electrons at precisely the predicted resonant energy, and that varying both the maximum beam energy, and the wave frequency, alters the energetic electron beam very close to the resonant energy.
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A major scientific problem of current interest is the determination of the dominant physical processes that drive the dynamic variability of the outer radiation belt [1–3]. Over the past decade or so, a number of studies have shown that the traditional view of radiation belt formation, that is, inward radial diffusion balanced by wave-induced scatter- ing [4,5], was insufficient to account for the dramatic, and often unpredictable variability of Earth’s outer radiation belt [6,7]. A key component that was missing in previous analyses appears to involve resonant interactions between energetic radiation belt electrons, and natural plasma waves, particularly those waves propagating in the whistler mode (where the wave frequency is between the electron and proton gyro frequencies) [7–11].

The idea of whistler-mode wave-particle interactions is not new by any means, and has appeared in the literature under various contexts such as stochastic scattering and precipitation of energetic electrons into the Earth’s dense upper atmosphere [4,12], coherent amplification of injected signals from the transmitter in Siple, Antarctica, and subsequent triggering of secondary emissions [13–15], and most recently, acceleration of seed electrons (∼100 keV) to relativistic energies [8,16–18]. In each case, the electron flies through the whistler wave packet and experiences a quasistationary electromagnetic wave field in its frame of reference when its gyro frequency matches the Doppler-shifted frequency of the wave. In this case, the electron and wave are said to be in resonance, and satisfy the equation

$$\omega - kv_\parallel = \Omega_e$$

(1)

where \(\omega\) is the wave radial frequency, \(\Omega_e\) is the electron gyro frequency \(k\) is the wave number, assumed to be propagating parallel to the background magnetic field, \(v_\parallel\) is the electron’s velocity component parallel to the background magnetic field, and \(k v_\parallel < 0\). Studying the interaction between whistler waves and energetic electrons in the laboratory is challenging because of the small scales involved. In a fully magnetized laboratory plasma, i.e., \(\rho_e \ll D_{\text{machine}}\), the electron gyro radius is typically mm size or less, making it difficult to detect changes in electron pitch angle. A review of observations and experiments on whistler mode waves is given in [19].

This Letter reports the first direct laboratory detection of the resonant scattering of energetic electrons by whistler mode waves, performed in the Large Plasma Device (LAPD) at UCLA. Energetic electrons emitted from a beam source interact with whistler waves launched by an antenna and propagating counter to the energetic electrons. Signatures of the energetic electrons on a fast particle detector are compared with and without whistler waves present. A resonant interaction is observed when the energetic electrons have the energy needed to Doppler shift the launched whistler mode frequency to the electron cyclotron frequency.

The experiment is performed on the upgraded Large Plasma Device [20,21] at the Basic Plasma Science Facility (BAPSF) at UCLA. The LAPD is a long cylindrical device, with axial magnetic field and a 18 m long 60 cm diameter quiescent plasma column. The plasma is pulsed at 1 Hz, and lasts for 12 ms with several milliseconds of steady state plasma. Plasma parameters for this study were \(n_e = 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}\), \(T_e = 6 \text{eV}\), \(B_0 = 200 \text{G}\) with a fill gas of helium. Automated probe drives connected to ball valves [22] enable 3D measurements of plasma parameters.

A 10 cm diameter energetic electron beam source and a whistler wave antenna are introduced into the machine
Measurements are taken in the region between the whistler wave antenna and the electron beam source. The electron beam source is based on a lanthanum hexaboride cathode (LaB$_6$) developed earlier at LAPD [23], with a series of grids separated by ceramic insulating spacers on the front side. The 10 cm diameter LaB$_6$ disk is heated to emission temperatures ($T > 1500 ^\circ C$) and pulsed negatively with respect to the machine wall ($0.5 \leq V_{beam} \leq 3$ kV). The start of the electron beam pulse is taken as $t = 0$ and the location of the electron beam source as $z = 0$. The whistler wave antenna is inserted in the machine a distance 6.4 m away from the electron beam source. The antenna consists of a balanced loop, 1 cm in diameter and oriented with its normal perpendicular to the magnetic field. The antenna is powered by a 2 kW radio frequency amplifier, which is broadband up to 220 MHz. Whistler wave frequencies are in the range of $0.2 - 0.4 \Omega_e$, representative of natural magnetospheric chorus waves in space [1,14]. For these parameters the beam electron energy needed for resonance varies from 0.5 up to a few keV.

The fast electrons are diagnosed with a fast particle detector (Fig. 2). It consists of two 3 mm diameter grids and a collector held in place by cylindrical insulating boron nitride spacers and housed in a stainless steel enclosure. At the front of the detector a 150 $\mu$m entrance hole limits the particle flux and density in the detector. The grids are biased in order to screen out the Maxwellian plasma population. Both the electron beam source and the detector are aligned along the magnetic field, i.e., the normal to the grids is parallel to the background field. In the limit of zero pitch angle, electrons entering the detector with energy above 75 eV reach the collector. It is clear however that electrons with nonzero pitch angle could have a large enough gyro radius such that they hit the side of the detector and never reach the collector. Figure 2 shows the threshold pitch angle sensitivity of the fast particle detector as a function of electron beam energy. Electrons with $E > 0.5$ keV and pitch angle larger than 10° will hit the side wall and will not be detected.

The perpendicular spatial profiles of the electron beam current density and whistler waves are displayed in Fig. 3.
Panel (a) shows the electron beam current density, measured with the fast particle detector. It is close to a Gaussian profile slightly distorted due to the plasma density reduction in the shadow of the whistler wave antenna. The profile was measured for a 2 kV accelerating potential on the beam source. Signals on the probe are in the range of $4 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ which for 2 keV electrons translates into beam densities of $10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, equivalent to $n_{\text{beam}}/n_e \approx 10^{-5}$. The beam density is kept low in order to limit the beam generated whistler waves to a level much lower than the whistler waves launched by the antenna.

The perpendicular magnetic field for a 180 MHz whistler wave is plotted as a vector plot in Fig. 3(b). The spatial extent of the whistler wave is similar to that of the electron beam. Care was taken in the experiment to ensure both profiles overlap. Time traces show that the $B_y$ component lags the $B_x$ component by 90°; i.e., the measured wave is right-handed as expected for the whistler wave. The magnetic fields in panel (b) are on the order of 2 mG, but in the experiment the whistler wave antenna is typically operated at higher powers resulting in fields up to 30 mG, i.e., $B_{\text{wave}}/B_0 \approx 10^{-4}$.

Figure 4(a) shows time traces of the beam voltage, and the current density to the fast particle detector both with and without whistler waves. The voltage on the beam source is not fixed, but is instead ramped up in 40 $\mu$s to a predetermined maximum beam voltage and then ramped down in about 400 $\mu$s. In one plasma shot beam electrons having energies from several keV to less than 100 eV are launched. This approach was favored over operating with a fixed beam energy since the interaction of whistler waves with beam electrons can be studied for a range of beam energies in one plasma shot. The transit time of a beam electron through the machine ($\approx 1 \mu$s) is much less than the 400 $\mu$s over which the beam is ramped down. The ramp down of the beam voltage is therefore slow enough to have good resolution in beam energies.

The whistler waves, if present, are on for the time displayed in Fig. 4(a) and are launched with a frequency of 180 MHz. Both time traces on the fast particle detector overlap for some of the time, but a decrease in signal strength is seen for a certain range of electron beam energies. Panel (b) shows the difference between the detector signals with and without whistler waves, plotted versus beam voltage with $f = 180$ MHz. Panel (c): difference signal with maximum beam ramp voltage ranging from 0.5 to 2.5 kV and $f = 200$ MHz.
whistler waves and a change in fast detector signal will be observed, even for 3 kV on the beam source. For this reason the threshold beam voltage above which scattering is observed will be taken to correspond to the resonant energy.

In order to solidify the finding that the observed scattering is a real effect, the predetermined maximum voltage on the beam was changed from 0.5 to 2.5 kV. The resonant energy is the same for all cases but will occur at different times in the beam voltage ramp. The signal reduction when the whistler waves are on as in Fig. 4(a) should therefore shift accordingly in time. This was indeed observed and the detected difference in beam density between whistlers on or off plotted versus beam voltage as in Fig. 4(c) showed excellent overlap for all cases. Note that the resonant energy in Fig. 4(c) is downshifted compared to Fig. 4(b) because of the higher whistler wave frequency.

The dependence of the electron beam–whistler wave interaction on the frequency of the whistler wave is shown in Fig. 5. The frequency of the whistler waves was incremented in 20 MHz steps from 140 to 220 MHz. The voltage ramp on the beam was kept fixed with the beam voltage peaking at 3 kV. Figure 5 shows the threshold beam voltage, i.e., resonant energy, for signal reduction on the fast particle detector due to the presence of whistler waves as a function of whistler wave frequency. It shows that decreasing the whistler wave frequency drives the resonant electron energies to higher values. A quantitative comparison to the resonance condition can be made from Fig. 5. The shaded region represents the theoretical prediction for $n = 1.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, for a range of $k_\perp$ from 0 cm$^{-1}$ up to 1 cm$^{-1}$, obtained from the data in Fig. 3(b). $k_\parallel$ at 180 MHz ranges from 1.36 to 1.29 cm$^{-1}$, inferred from the whistler dispersion relation.

FIG. 5. Threshold beam voltage, i.e., resonant electron energy, for signal reduction on the fast particle detector. Shaded region represents the theoretical prediction for $n = 1.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, for a range of $k_\perp$ from 0 cm$^{-1}$ up to 1 cm$^{-1}$, obtained from the data in Fig. 3(b). $k_\parallel$ at 180 MHz ranges from 1.36 to 1.29 cm$^{-1}$ inferred from the whistler dispersion relation.

Resonant interactions between energetic electrons and whistler mode waves are an essential ingredient in the space environment, and in particular in controlling the dynamic variability of the Earth’s natural radiation belts, which is a currently topic of extreme interest [2]. By devising a laboratory experiment that can reproduce such resonant interactions, we have created a tool that is able to test and evolve wave-particle interaction theories that have been standard in the literature for decades and extensively relied upon for modeling radiation belt behavior, but that have not as-yet been effectively tested under controlled conditions.
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